1	JASON M. FRIERSON	
2	United States Attorney Nevada Bar Number 7709	
_	LAUREN M. IBANEZ	
3	Assistant United States Attorney	
,	District of Nevada	
$4 \mid$	501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
5	Phone: (702) 388-6336	
	<u>Lauren.Ibanez@usdoj.gov</u>	
6	Attorneys for the United States	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	District of NEVIEN	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:23-cr-00180-JCM-MDC
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING
10	Trantin,	GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO HOLD
	v.	IN ABEYANCE DEFENDANT'S
11	AUHZARAY DELYNN FOSTER,	MOTION TO DISMISS
12	AUIZARAT DELTINI POSTER,	
1.0	Defendant.	
13		
14	This Court has read and considered the Government's Motion to Hold in Abeyance	
15	Defendant's Motion Dismiss, filed on May 23, 2024. The Government's Motion is	
16	GRANTED. The Court hereby finds as follows:	
17	(1) Defendant's Motion will be held in abeyance until a decision on the	
18	government's petition for rehearing en banc in United States v. Duarte, 2024 WL 2068016 (9th	
19	Cir. 2024), or for 60 days, whichever is earlier.	
20	(2) The Court further finds that this period of time is excludable in computing the	
21	time within which the trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A),	
22	(h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(ii).	
23	(3) The Government's Motion also provides good cause for a finding of	
24	excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(D).	

- (4) Once the petition for rehearing *en banc* in *Duarte* is resolved, the Court will set a hearing at which time it will consider defendant's Motion. Until then, defendant's Motion is not "under advisement" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(H) because the disposition of the government's *en banc* petition by the Ninth Circuit is necessary to the Court's disposition of the Motion.
- (5) Nothing in this stipulation shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods be excluded from the period within which trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED June 13, 2024.

THE HON. JAMES C. MAHAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Cellus C. Mahan